

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/729,049	12/05/2003	Jason F. Hunzinger	4041L-70/DVB	9291	
27572	7590 06/21/2006		EXAMINER		
HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C.			BALAOING, ARIEL A		
P.O. BOX 828 BLOOMFIELD HILLS, MI 48303			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2617	2617	
		DATE MAILED: 06/21/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
•	10/729,049	HUNZINGER ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Ariel Balaoing	2617			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app					
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	lely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 Ap	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 April 2006</u> .				
· <u> </u>	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) <u>28-30</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) <u>28-30</u> is/are rejected.	•				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	r clastian requirement				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10) \boxtimes The drawing(s) filed on <u>05 December 2003</u> is/are: a) \boxtimes accepted or b) \square objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 2617

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

- 1. Applicant's arguments filed 04/05/2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 28 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 4. Claims 28-30 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by LINTULAMPI (US 6,377,804 B1).

Regarding claim 28, LINTULAMPI discloses a method of prioritizing a plurality of service systems for use by a mobile station in a wireless communication system (abstract\) comprising: determining a reference location for the mobile station (col. 1, line 66-col. 2, line 36; col. 2, line 37-49; location determination of the mobile device is obtained); setting a centerpoint of a coverage area which is covered by all of the service systems (1-Figure 1; col. 2, line 11-21; overlapping coverage of second system is less then first. Centerpoint of a cell is located around base station); and prioritizing the

Application/Control Number: 10/729,049

Art Unit: 2617

plurality of service systems [GSM/UMTS] based on the reference location and the centerpoint, the prioritized service systems including a highest priority service system and a second highest priority service system (col. 4, line 44-65; GSM network is preferred service. If QoS parameters are unacceptable, UMTS is selected).

Regarding claim 29, see the rejections of the parent claim concerning the subject matter this claim is dependent upon. LINTULAMPI further discloses selecting the highest priority service system from the plurality of service systems for use by the mobile station (col. 4, line 44-65; GSM network is preferred service. If QoS parameters are unacceptable, UMTS is selected).

Regarding claim 30, see the rejections of the parent claim concerning the subject matter this claim is dependent upon. LINTULAMPI further discloses selecting the second highest priority service system from the plurality of service systems for use by the mobile station when the first highest priority service system is not available (col. 4, line 44-65; GSM network is preferred service. If QoS parameters are unacceptable, UMTS is selected; furthermore, when mobile moves from service area 1, the service system of 1 would not be available and service system 2 would be required).

Conclusion

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/729,049

Page 4

Art Unit: 2617

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ariel Balaoing whose telephone number is (571) 272-7317. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:00 AM to 4:30 AM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, George Eng can be reached on (571) 272-7495. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2617

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Ariel Balaoing - Art Unit 2617

AB 6/9/1

ΑB

GEORGE ENG SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER